arXiv:1409.5207v1 [math.RT] 18 Sep 2014

Whittaker modules for the derivation Lie algebra of torus with two variables [1]

Haifeng Lian
Department of Mathematics,
Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University, Fuzhou 350002, China
Email: hlian@fafu.edu.cn

Xiufu Zhang
School of Mathematics and Statistics,
Jiangsu Normal University, Xuzhou 221116, China
Email: xfzhang@jsnu.edu.cn

Abstract

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the derivation Lie algebra of $\mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm 1}, t_2^{\pm 1}]$. Given a triangle decomposition $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathcal{L}^-$, we define a nonsingular Lie algebra homomorphism $\psi : \mathcal{L}^+ \to \mathbb{C}$ and the universal Whittaker $\mathcal{L}$-module W_ψ of type ψ. We obtain all Whittaker vectors and submodules of W_ψ, and all simple Whittaker $\mathcal{L}$-modules of type ψ.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 17B10, 17B65, 17B68, 17B81

Keywords: Whittaker vector, Whittaker module, simple module, Derivation Lie algebra

1 Introduction

Throughout the paper, the symbols $\mathbb{C}$, $\mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_+$ represent for the complex field, the set of non-negative integers, the set of integers, and the set of positive integers, respectively. Denote $\underline{m} = \{1, \cdots, m\}$ for $m \geq 1$ and $\mathbb{Z}^n = \{(a_1, \cdots, a_n) | a_1, \cdots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ for $n \geq 2$. The rings and Lie algebras in our paper are all over $\mathbb{C}$.

Let $\mathcal{A}_n = \mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \cdots, t_n^{\pm 1}]$ be the Laurent polynomial ring with n variables. Let $\mathrm{Der}\mathcal{A}_n$ be the derivation Lie algebra of $\mathcal{A}_n$. When $n = 1$, $\mathrm{Der}\mathcal{A}_1$ is just the Witt algebra. The central extension of Witt algebra is called the Virasoro algebra, it is widely used in conformal field theory and string theory and plays important roles, the representation theories of Virasoro algebra are widely studied(see [M, OW, MZ1, MZ2] and the references therein). For $n \geq 2$, $\mathrm{Der}\mathcal{A}_n$ is a natural generalization of Witt algebra. It is proved that $\mathrm{Der}\mathcal{A}_n (n \geq 2)$ has no nontrivial central extension. The Virasoro-like Lie algebra is a central extension of a subalgebra of $\mathrm{Der}\mathcal{A}_2$, which has also been widely studied (see [JM, LT2, KP, WZh, ZZ])

[1]Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11271165), the Youth Foundation of National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11101350, 11302052) and the natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province (No. 2010J05001)

The quantum torus contains the Laurent polynomial ring $\mathcal{A}_n$ as its special case. The derivation Lie algebras of quantum torus have many important application in the study of the representation theory of Lie algebras (see [LT1] and the references therein).

The purpose of this paper is to study explicitly the Whittaker modules for $\mathrm{Der}\mathcal{A}_2$. Our aim is to give the classification of the simple Whittaker modules over $\mathrm{Der}\mathcal{A}_2$.

Whittaker modules were first discovered for $\mathrm{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ by Arnal and Pinczon (see [AP]). The versions of Whittaker modules for finite-dimensional complex semisimple Lie algebras were generalized by Kostant in [Ko]. Since this class of modules tied up with Whittaker equations in number theory, Kostant called them Whittaker modules. He proved that for a given complex semisimple Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$, there is a bijection between its Whittaker modules and the ideals in the center of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathcal{G})$. The prominent role played by Whittaker modules is illustrated by Block (see [B]). In his paper, Block proved that any irreducible $\mathrm{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$-module belongs to the following three families of modules: highest (or lowest) weight modules, Whittaker modules, and modules obtained by localization.

Since the definition of Whittaker modules is closely tied to triangular decomposition of a finite-dimensional complex semisimple Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$, it is natural to consider Whittaker modules for other algebras with a triangular decomposition such as the generalized Weyl algebras, Heisenberg algebras, affine Lie algebras, Virasoro algebras, Lie algebra of Block type and the Schröinger-Witt algebra, etc.(see [BO, Ch, OW, WZ, ZTL]).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we construct a universal Whittaker module for $\mathrm{Der}\mathcal{A}_n$. In section 3, we describe the Whittaker vectors and find all the simple nonsingular Whittaker modules. In section 4, the simplicity of nonsingular Whittaker modules is completely determined.

2 Preliminaries

Let $\mathcal{L} = \mathrm{Der}\mathcal{A}_n$ be the derivation Lie algebra of $\mathcal{A}_n = \mathbb{C}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \cdots, t_n^{\pm 1}]$ with $n \geq 2$. For $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $i \in \underline{n}$, set

$$d_i(\alpha) = t^\alpha (t_i \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i}), \quad \mathcal{L}_\alpha = \oplus_{i \in \underline{n}} \mathbb{C} d_i(\alpha),$$

where $t^\alpha = t_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots t_n^{\alpha_n}$. Then $\mathcal{L} = \oplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n} \mathcal{L}_\alpha$ is a $\mathbb{Z}^n$-graded Lie algebra with the following multiplication:

$$[d_i(\alpha), d_j(\beta)] = \beta_i d_j(\alpha + \beta) - \alpha_j d_i(\alpha + \beta).$$

For $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n), \beta = (\beta_1, \cdots, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, we denote $\beta < \alpha$ (or $\alpha > \beta$) if the first nonzero elements of $\alpha_1 - \beta_1, \cdots, \alpha_n - \beta_n$ is positive; denote $\beta \leq \alpha$ (or $\alpha \geq \beta$) if $\beta < \alpha$ or if $\beta = \alpha$. Clearly, $(\mathbb{Z}^n, \leq)$ is a totally ordered set.

Set $\mathbb{Z}_+^n = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n | \ \alpha > \mathbf{0}\}$, $\mathbb{Z}_-^n = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n | \alpha < \mathbf{0}\}$, where $\mathbf{0} = (0, \cdots, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. $\mathcal{L}$ has

the following triangular decomposition

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathcal{L}^-,$$

where $\mathcal{L}^+ = \oplus_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}_+^n}\mathcal{L}_\alpha$ and $\mathcal{L}^- = \oplus_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}_-^n}\mathcal{L}_\alpha$ are subalgebras of $\mathcal{L}$, and $\mathfrak{h} = \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{0}}$ is a cartan subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}$.

For $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n), \beta = (\beta_1, \cdots, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, define

$$\alpha \pm \beta = (\alpha_1 \pm \beta_1, \cdots, \alpha_n \pm \beta_n).$$

Set $\varepsilon_i = (\varepsilon_{i1}, \cdots, \varepsilon_{in})$ where $\varepsilon_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$, that is $\varepsilon_{ii} = 1$ and $\varepsilon_{ij} = 0$ for $i \neq j$.

Proposition 2.1 *Let* $\mathcal{L}^+ = \oplus_{i\in\underline{n}}\mathbb{C}d_i(\varepsilon_n) \oplus \mathbb{C}d_n(2\varepsilon_n) \oplus [\mathcal{L}^+, \mathcal{L}^+]$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{L}^+ = \oplus_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}_+^n}\mathcal{L}_\alpha$, we need to show that

$$[\mathcal{L}^+, \mathcal{L}^+] = (\oplus_{i\in\underline{n-1}}\mathbb{C}d_i(2\varepsilon_n)) \oplus (\oplus_{\alpha>2\varepsilon_n}\mathcal{L}_\alpha).$$

For $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n) > 2\varepsilon_n$ and $i \in \underline{n}$, if $\alpha_n > 2$, then we have

$$d_i(\alpha) = \frac{\alpha_i}{(\alpha_n - 2)}[d_n(\varepsilon_n), d_n(\alpha - \varepsilon_n)] - [d_i(\varepsilon_n), d_n(\alpha - \varepsilon_n)] \in [\mathcal{L}^+, \mathcal{L}^+];$$

if $\alpha_n \leq 2$, then there is $i_0 \in \underline{n-1}$ such that $\alpha_{i_0} > 0$, thus we have

$$d_i(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\alpha_{i_0}}[d_{i_0}(\varepsilon_n), d_i(\alpha - \varepsilon_n)] + \frac{1}{\alpha_{i_0}^2}\delta_{in}[d_{i_0}(\varepsilon_n), d_{i_0}(\alpha - \varepsilon_n)] \in [\mathcal{L}^+, \mathcal{L}^+].$$

Therefore, we have $\oplus_{\alpha>2\varepsilon_n}\mathcal{L}_\alpha \subseteq [\mathcal{L}^+, \mathcal{L}^+]$. Since $[\mathcal{L}_\alpha, \mathcal{L}_\beta] \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{\alpha+\beta}$ and

$$[\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon_n}, \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon_n}] = \sum_{i,j\in\underline{n}} \mathbb{C}[d_i(\varepsilon_n), d_j(\varepsilon_n)] = \sum_{i\in\underline{n-1}} \mathbb{C}[d_n(\varepsilon_n), d_i(\varepsilon_n)] = \oplus_{i\in\underline{n-1}}\mathbb{C}D_i(2\varepsilon_n),$$

we have $[\mathcal{L}^+, \mathcal{L}^+] = (\oplus_{i\in\underline{n-1}}d_i(2\varepsilon_n)) \oplus (\oplus_{\alpha>2\varepsilon_n}\mathcal{L}_\alpha)$, as required. □

Let $\psi : \mathcal{L}^+ \to \mathbb{C}$ be a homomorphism of Lie algebras. Set $\Omega = \{d_1(\varepsilon_n), \cdots, d_n(\varepsilon_n), d_n(2\varepsilon_n)\}$. Though $\mathcal{L}^+$ can not be degenerated by Ω, following from proposition 2.1, ψ is uniquely determined by Ω.

Definition 2.2 *Suppose* $\psi : \mathcal{L}^+ \to \mathbb{C}$ *is a homomorphism of Lie algebras. If* $\psi(x) \neq 0$ *for all* $x \in \Omega$*, we say* ψ *is nonsingular; otherwise, we say* ψ *is singular.*

Definition 2.3 *Suppose* V *is an* $\mathcal{L}$*-module and* $\psi : \mathcal{L}^+ \to \mathbb{C}$ *is a homomorphism of Lie algebras. A nonzero vector* v *of* V *is called a Whittaker vector of type* ψ*, if* $xv = \psi(x)v$ *for every* $x \in \mathcal{L}^+$*.* V *is called a Whittaker module of type* ψ *if there is a Whittaker vector* w *which generates* V*. In this case, we call* w *a cyclic Whittaker vector. Moreover, if any Whittaker* $\mathcal{L}$*-module of type* ψ *is a quotient of* V*, then we call* V *is universal.*

Suppose $\psi : \mathcal{L}^+ \to \mathbb{C}$ is homomorphism of Lie algebras. For $x \in \mathcal{L}^+$, $a \in \mathbb{C}$, define $x \cdot a = \psi(x)a$. Then $\mathbb{C}$ is an $\mathcal{L}^+$-module, and is denoted by $\mathbb{C}_\psi$. Let W_ψ be the $\mathcal{L}$-module induced by $\mathbb{C}_\psi$, that is

$$W_\psi = U(\mathcal{L}) \otimes_{U(\mathcal{L}^+)} \mathbb{C}_\psi.$$

Clearly, W_ψ is a universal Whittaker module with cyclic Whittaker vector $w = 1 \otimes 1$, and W_ψ is isomorphic to $U(\mathfrak{b}^-)$ as $U(\mathfrak{b}^-)$-module, where $\mathfrak{b}^- = \mathcal{L}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h}$.

3 Whittaker vectors for Whittaker modules of nonsingular type

In what follows, we let $n = 2$, $\mathcal{L} = \mathrm{Der}\mathcal{A}_2$, $\psi : \mathcal{L}^+ \to \mathbb{C}$ be a nonsingular homomorphism of Lie algebras, $W_\psi = U(\mathcal{L}) \otimes_{U(\mathcal{L}^+)} \mathbb{C}_\psi$ be the universal Whittaker $\mathcal{L}$-module of type ψ with cyclic Whittaker vector $w = 1 \otimes 1$, $\Omega = \{d_1(\varepsilon_2), d_2(\varepsilon_2), d_2(2\varepsilon_2)\}$.

To describe the bases of the Whittaker module W_ψ, we need the following notations.

Definition 3.1 *Let $(\Lambda, \leq)$ be a totally ordered set. A partition of Λ with length r is a non-decreasing sequence of r elements of Λ:*

$$\lambda = (\lambda^1, \cdots, \lambda^r), \quad \lambda^1 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda^r.$$

Denote by $\mathcal{P}(\Lambda)$ the set of all partitions with finite length. For $\lambda = (\lambda^1, \cdots, \lambda^r) \in \mathcal{P}(\Lambda)$, denote $l(\lambda) = r$, and for $\alpha \in \Lambda$, let $\lambda(\alpha)$ denote the number of times α appears in the partition. Clearly, any partition λ is completely determined by the values $\lambda(\alpha)$, $\alpha \in \Lambda$. If all $\lambda(\alpha) = 0$, call λ the null partition and denote $\lambda = \bar{0}$. Note that, $\bar{0}$ is the only partition of with length 0. We consider $\bar{0}$ an element of $\mathcal{P}(\Lambda)$. For $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(\Lambda)$, we also write $\lambda = \{\alpha^{\lambda(\alpha)}\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$.

Let $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}_+^n)$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}} = \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P} \times \mathbb{N}$. For $\lambda = (\lambda^1, \cdots, \lambda^r) \in \mathcal{P}$ and $i = 1, 2$, set

$$\begin{aligned} &|\lambda| = |\lambda^1| + \cdots + |\lambda^r|, \quad |\bar{0}| = \mathbf{0}, \\ &x_{i,\lambda} = d_i(-\lambda^1) \cdots d_i(-\lambda^r), \quad x_{i,\bar{0}} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

For $(\lambda, \mu, k) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} &|(\lambda, \mu, k)| = |\lambda| + |\mu|, \qquad x_{\lambda,\mu,k} = x_{1,\lambda} x_{2,\mu} d_2^k(\mathbf{0}), \\ &S_\mu = \{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2 | \mu(\gamma) > 0\}, \qquad S_{\lambda,\mu} = \{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2 \mid \lambda(\gamma) \neq \mu(\gamma)\}, \\ &S_\mu^+ = \{\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2 | \mu(\gamma) > 0 \text{ and } \gamma_1 > 0\}, \\ &S_{\lambda,\mu}^+ = \{\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2 \ | \lambda(\gamma) \neq \mu(\gamma) \text{ and } \gamma_1 > 0\}, \\ &\mu_\alpha = \{\gamma^{k_\gamma}\}_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2}, \text{ where } k_\alpha = \mu(\alpha) - 1 \text{ and } k_\gamma = \mu(\gamma) \text{ for } \gamma \neq \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

We denote $x_{i,\mu_\alpha} = 0$, if $\mu_\alpha \notin \mathcal{P}$.

Set $z = d_1(\mathbf{0})$. Following from the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem, the set

$$\{x_{\lambda,\mu,k} z^r w \mid (\lambda,\mu,k) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{P}},\ r \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

forms a basis of W_ψ.

Theorem 3.2 *Suppose $\psi : \mathcal{L}^+ \to \mathbb{C}$ is a nonsingular homomorphism of Lie algebras. Let W_ψ be a universal Whittaker $\mathcal{L}$-module with cyclic Whittaker vector w. For $0 \neq u \in U(\mathfrak{b}^-)$, uw is a Whittaker vector if and only if $u \in \mathbb{C}[z]$.*

In the proof, we need the following notations and lemmas.

Definition 3.3 *Suppose $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{P}$, $\lambda \neq \mu$.*

(1) We say $\lambda < \mu$ if $\lambda(\alpha) < \mu(\alpha)$, where α is the minimum element in $S_{\lambda,\mu}$.

(2) We say $\lambda \prec \mu$ if $S^+_{\lambda,\mu} \neq \emptyset$ and $\lambda(\alpha) < \mu(\alpha)$, where α is the minimum element in $S^+_{\lambda,\mu}$, or if $S^+_{\lambda,\mu} = \emptyset$ and $\lambda < \mu$.

Definition 3.4 *Suppose Suppose $(\lambda,\mu,k), (\lambda',\mu',k') \in \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}$. We say $(\lambda,\mu,k) \prec (\lambda',\mu',k')$ if it satisfies one of the following conditions.*

(1) $|\lambda| + |\mu| < |\lambda'| + |\mu'|$;

(2) $|\lambda| + |\mu| = |\lambda'| + |\mu'|$, $k < k'$;

(3) $|\lambda| + |\mu| = |\lambda'| + |\mu'|$, $k = k'$, $\mu < \mu'$;

(4) $|\lambda| + |\mu| = |\lambda'| + |\mu'|$, $k = k'$, $\mu = \mu'$, $\lambda \prec \lambda'$.

We denote $(\lambda,\mu,k) \preceq (\lambda',\mu',k')$ if $(\lambda,\mu,k) = (\lambda',\mu',k')$ or $(\lambda,\mu,k) \prec (\lambda',\mu',k')$. Then $(\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}, \preceq)$ is a totally ordered set with minimum element $(\bar{0},\bar{0},0)$.

Set $W_\psi(\bar{0},\bar{0},0) = \{0\}$, and for $(\bar{0},\bar{0},0) \prec (\lambda',\mu',k')$, set

$$W_\psi(\lambda',\mu',k') = \sum_{(\lambda,\mu,k) \prec (\lambda',\mu',k')} x_{\lambda,\mu,k}\mathbb{C}[z]w.$$

For $0 \neq v = \sum_{(\lambda,\mu,k)\in\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}} x_{\lambda,\mu,k} f_{\lambda,\mu,k}(z)w$, where $f_{\lambda,\mu,k}(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z]$, set

$$P(v) = \{(\lambda,\mu,k) | f_{\lambda,\mu,k}(z) \neq 0\},$$

$$\deg(v) = \max_{\preceq}\{(\lambda,\mu,k) | (\lambda,\mu,k) \in P(v)\}.$$

Lemma 3.5 *Suppose* $(\lambda,\mu,k)\in\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}$, $0\neq f(z)\in\mathbb{C}[z]$, $D\in\Omega$. *We have*

$$D\cdot x_{\lambda,\mu,k}f(z)w\equiv\psi(D)x_{\lambda,\mu,k}f(z)w\pmod{W_\psi(\lambda,\mu,k)} \tag{3.1}$$

Moreover, if $(\lambda',\mu',k')\in\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}$ *and* $(\lambda,\mu,k)\prec(\lambda',\mu',k')$, *then*

$$D\cdot x_{\lambda,\mu,k}f(z)w\equiv 0\pmod{W_\psi(\lambda',\mu',k')} \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. For (3.1), using $Dz=zD$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& D\cdot x_{\lambda,\mu,k}f(z)w\\
\equiv\ & [D,x_{1,\lambda}x_{2,\mu}]d_2^k(\mathbf{0})f(z)w+x_{1,\lambda}x_{2,\mu}Dd_2^k(\mathbf{0})f(z)w\\
\equiv\ & x_{1,\lambda}x_{2,\mu}[D,d_2^k(\mathbf{0})]f(z)w+x_{1,\lambda}x_{2,\mu}d_2^k(\mathbf{0})Df(z)w\ ,\\
\equiv\ & x_{1,\lambda}x_{2,\mu}d_2^k(\mathbf{0})f(z)Dw\\
\equiv\ & \psi(D)x_{1,\lambda}x_{2,\mu}d_2^k(\mathbf{0})f(z)w\pmod{W_\psi(\lambda,\mu,k)}
\end{aligned}$$

as required. Following from (3.1), we have (3.2). □

Lemma 3.6 *Any Whittaker vector of* W_ψ *is of type* ψ.

Proof. Suppose $w'=\sum_{(\lambda,\mu,k)\in\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}}x_{\lambda,\mu,k}f_{\lambda,\mu,k}(z)w$ is a Whittaker vector of W_ψ of type ψ', where $\psi':\mathcal{L}^+\to\mathbb{C}$ is a Lie homomorphism, $f_{\lambda,\mu,k}(z)\in\mathbb{C}[z]$. Let $(\lambda',\mu',k')=\deg(w')$. Using lemma 3.5, for $D\in\Omega$, we have

$$\psi'(D)w'=Dw'\equiv\psi(D)w'\pmod{W_\psi(\lambda',\mu',k')}$$

which follows $\psi'(D)=\psi(D)$. Therefore, we have $\psi'=\psi$. □

Suppose $0\neq u\in U(\mathfrak{b}^-)$. Following from the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem, uw can be write as

$$uw=\sum_{(\lambda,\mu,k)\in\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}}x_{\lambda,\mu,k}f_{\lambda,\mu,k}(z)w,\ \text{where}\ f_{\lambda,\mu,k}(z)\in\mathbb{C}[z].$$

Let $(\lambda',\mu',k')=\deg(uw)$, $N=|\lambda'|+|\mu'|$,

$$\Lambda_N:=\{(\lambda,\mu,k)\in P(uw)|\ |\lambda|+|\mu|=N\},$$

$$\Lambda_{N-\varepsilon}:=\{(\lambda,\mu,k)\in P(uw)||\lambda|+|\mu|=N-\varepsilon\}.$$

Lemma 3.7 *Suppose* $k'>0$, *we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
& d_2(2\varepsilon_2)\cdot uw-\psi(d_2(2\varepsilon_2))uw\\
\equiv\ & -2k'\psi(d_2(2\varepsilon_2))x_{\lambda',\mu',k'-1}f_{\lambda',\mu',k'}(z)w\pmod{W_\psi(\lambda',\mu',k'-1)}
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since $d_2(2\varepsilon_2)z = zd_2(2\varepsilon_2)$ and $d_2(2\varepsilon_2)d_2^k(\mathbf{0}) = (d_2(\mathbf{0})-2)^k d_2(2\varepsilon_2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& d_2(2\varepsilon_2)\cdot uw - \psi(d_2(2\varepsilon_2))uw \\
\equiv\ & \textstyle\sum_{(\lambda,\mu,k)\in\Lambda_N}[d_2(2\varepsilon_2), x_{\lambda,\mu,k}]f_{\lambda,\mu,k}(z)w \\
\equiv\ & \textstyle\sum_{(\lambda,\mu,k)\in\Lambda_N}[d_2(2\varepsilon_2), x_{1,\lambda}x_{2,\mu}d_2^k(\mathbf{0})]f_{\lambda,\mu,k}(z)w \\
\equiv\ & \textstyle\sum_{(\lambda,\mu,k)\in\Lambda_N} x_{1,\lambda}x_{2,\mu}[d_2(2\varepsilon_2), d_2^k(\mathbf{0})]f_{\lambda,\mu,k}(z)w \\
\equiv\ & \textstyle\sum_{(\lambda,\mu,k)\in\Lambda_N} x_{1,\lambda}x_{2,\mu}((d_2(\mathbf{0})-2)^k - d_2^k(\mathbf{0}))d_2(2\varepsilon_2)f_{\lambda,\mu,k}(z)w \\
\equiv\ & \textstyle\sum_{(\lambda,\mu,k)\in\Lambda_N}(-2k)x_{1,\lambda}x_{2,\mu}d_2^{k-1}(\mathbf{0})f_{\lambda,\mu,k}(z)d_2(2\varepsilon_2)w \\
\equiv\ & -2k'\psi(d_2(2\varepsilon_2))x_{1,\lambda'}x_{2,\mu'}d_2^{k'-1}(\mathbf{0})f_{\lambda',\mu',k'}(z)w \\
\equiv\ & -2k'\psi(d_2(2\varepsilon_2))x_{\lambda',\mu',k'-1}f_{\lambda',\mu',k'}(z)w \pmod{W_\psi(\lambda',\mu',k'-1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.8 *Suppose $k'=0$ and $\mu'\neq\bar{0}$. Let $\alpha=(\alpha_1,\alpha_2)$ be the minimum element in $S_{\mu'}$.*

(1) If $\alpha_1>0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& (d_1(\alpha+2\varepsilon_2)-\psi(d_1(\alpha+2\varepsilon_2)))uw \\
\equiv\ & -\alpha_1\mu'(\alpha)\psi(d_2(2\varepsilon_2))x_{1,\lambda'}x_{2,\mu'_\alpha}f_{\lambda',\mu',0}(z)w \pmod{W_\psi(\lambda',\mu'_\alpha,0)}
\end{aligned}$$

(2) If $\alpha_1=0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& (d_2(\alpha+2\varepsilon_2)-\psi(d_2(\alpha+2\varepsilon_2)))uw \\
\equiv\ & -2(\alpha_2+1)\mu'(\alpha)\psi(d_2(2\varepsilon_2))x_{1,\lambda'}x_{2,\mu'_\alpha}f_{\lambda',\mu',0}(z)w \pmod{W_\psi(\lambda',\mu'_\alpha,0)}
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. By the definitions of $(\lambda',\mu',k'), N, \Lambda_N$, we have

$$uw = \sum_{(\lambda,\mu,k)\notin\Lambda_N} x_{\lambda,\mu,k}f_{\lambda,\mu,k}(z)w + \sum_{\substack{(\lambda,\mu,k)\prec(\lambda',\mu',k')\\(\lambda,\mu,k)\in\Lambda_N}} x_{\lambda,\mu,k}f_{\lambda,\mu,k}(z)w + x_{\lambda',\mu',k'}f_{\lambda',\mu',k'}(z)w.$$

For (1), let $(\lambda,\mu,k)\in P(uw)$ and $v = d_1(\alpha+2\varepsilon_2)x_{\lambda,\mu,k}f_{\lambda,\mu,k}(z)w$. If $(\lambda,\mu,k)\notin\Lambda_N$, then $|\lambda|+|\mu|<N$, which implies $|\deg v|\leq|\lambda|+|\mu|-\alpha<N-\alpha$ while $v\neq 0$. Thus we have

$$d_1(\alpha+2\varepsilon_2)x_{\lambda,\mu,k}f_{\lambda,\mu,k}(z)w\equiv 0 \pmod{W_\psi(\lambda',\mu'_\alpha,0)}.$$

If $(\lambda,\mu,k)\in\Lambda_N$ but $(\lambda,\mu,k)\prec(\lambda',\mu',0)$, we have $k=0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
& d_1(\alpha+2\varepsilon_2)x_{\lambda,\mu,0}f_{\lambda,\mu,0}(z)w \\
\equiv\ & d_1(\alpha+2\varepsilon_2)x_{1,\lambda}x_{2,\mu}f_{\lambda,\mu,0}(z)w \\
\equiv\ & [d_1(\alpha+2\varepsilon_2),x_{1,\lambda}]x_{2,\mu}f_{\lambda,\mu,0}(z)w + x_{1,\lambda}d_1(\alpha+2\varepsilon_2)x_{2,\mu}f_{\lambda,\mu,0}(z)w \\
\equiv\ & x_{1,\lambda}[d_1(\alpha+2\varepsilon_2),x_{2,\mu}]f_{\lambda,\mu,0}(z)w + x_{1,\lambda}x_{2,\mu}d_1(\alpha+2\varepsilon_2)f_{\lambda,\mu,0}(z)w \\
\equiv\ & -\alpha_1\mu(\alpha)\psi(d_2(2\varepsilon_2))x_{1,\lambda}x_{2,\mu_\alpha}f_{\lambda,\mu,0}(z)w \\
\equiv\ & 0 \pmod{W_\psi(\lambda',\mu'_\alpha,0)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (d_1(\alpha+2\varepsilon_2)-\psi(d_1(\alpha+2\varepsilon_2)))uw \\
\equiv\ & d_1(\alpha+2\varepsilon_2)x_{1,\lambda'}x_{2,\mu'}f_{\lambda',\mu',0}(z)w \\
\equiv\ & [d_1(\alpha+2\varepsilon_2),x_{1,\lambda'}]x_{2,\mu'}f_{\lambda',\mu',0}(z)w + x_{1,\lambda'}d_1(\alpha+2\varepsilon_2)x_{2,\mu'}f_{\lambda',\mu',0}(z)w \\
\equiv\ & x_{1,\lambda'}[d_1(\alpha+2\varepsilon_2),x_{2,\mu'}]f_{\lambda',\mu',0}(z)w + x_{1,\lambda'}x_{2,\mu'}d_1(\alpha+2\varepsilon_2)f_{\lambda',\mu',0}(z)w \\
\equiv\ & \alpha_1\mu'(\alpha)\psi(d_2(2\varepsilon_2))x_{1,\lambda'}x_{2,\mu'_\alpha}f_{\lambda',\mu',0}(z)w \pmod{W_\psi(\lambda',\mu'_\alpha,0)}.
\end{aligned}$$

For (2), let $(\lambda,\mu,k)\in P(uw)$ and $v=d_2(\alpha+2\varepsilon_2)x_{\lambda,\mu,k}f_{\lambda,\mu,k}(z)w$. If $(\lambda,\mu,k)\notin\Lambda_N$, then $|\lambda|+|\mu|<N$, which implies $|\deg v|\le|\lambda|+|\mu|-\alpha<N-\alpha$ while $v\neq 0$. Thus we have

$$d_2(\alpha+2\varepsilon_2)x_{\lambda,\mu,k}f_{\lambda,\mu,k}(z)w\equiv 0 \pmod{W_\psi(\lambda',\mu'_\alpha,0)}.$$

If $(\lambda,\mu,k)\in\Lambda_N$ but $(\lambda,\mu,k)\prec(\lambda',\mu',0)$, we have $k=0$ and $\mu\le\mu'$. Using $\alpha_1=0$ and $\alpha_2>0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& d_2(\alpha+2\varepsilon_2)x_{\lambda,\mu,k}f_{\lambda,\mu,0}(z)w \\
\equiv\ & d_2(\alpha+2\varepsilon_2)x_{1,\lambda}x_{2,\mu}f_{\lambda,\mu,0}(z)w \\
\equiv\ & [d_2(\alpha+2\varepsilon_2),x_{1,\lambda}]x_{2,\mu}f_{\lambda,\mu,0}(z)w + x_{1,\lambda}d_2(\alpha+2\varepsilon_2)x_{2,\mu}f_{\lambda,\mu,0}(z)w \\
\equiv\ & x_{1,\lambda}[d_2(\alpha+2\varepsilon_2),x_{2,\mu}]f_{\lambda,\mu,0}(z)w + x_{1,\lambda}x_{2,\mu}d_2(\alpha+2\varepsilon_2)f_{\lambda,\mu,0}(z)w \\
\equiv\ & -2(\alpha_2+1)\mu(\alpha)\psi(d_2(2\varepsilon_2))x_{1,\lambda}x_{2,\mu_\alpha}f_{\lambda,\mu,0}(z)w \\
\equiv\ & 0 \pmod{W_\psi(\lambda',\mu'_\alpha,0)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (d_2(\alpha+2\varepsilon_2)-\psi(d_2(\alpha+2\varepsilon_2)))uw \\
\equiv\ & d_2(\alpha+2\varepsilon_2)x_{1,\lambda'}x_{2,\mu'}f_{\lambda',\mu',0}(z)w \\
\equiv\ & [d_2(\alpha+2\varepsilon_2),x_{1,\lambda'}]x_{2,\mu'}f_{\lambda',\mu',0}(z)w + x_{1,\lambda'}d_2(\alpha+2\varepsilon_2)x_{2,\mu'}f_{\lambda',\mu',0}(z)w \\
\equiv\ & x_{1,\lambda'}[d_2(\alpha+2\varepsilon_2),x_{2,\mu'}]f_{\lambda',\mu',0}(z)w + x_{1,\lambda'}x_{2,\mu'}d_2(\alpha+2\varepsilon_2)f_{\lambda',\mu',0}(z)w \\
\equiv\ & -2(\alpha_2+1)\mu'(\alpha)\psi(d_2(2\varepsilon_2))x_{1,\lambda'}x_{2,\mu'_\alpha}f_{\lambda',\mu',0}(z)w \pmod{W_\psi(\lambda',\mu'_\alpha,0)}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.9 *Suppose $\mu'=\bar{0}$, $k'=0$, $S^+_{\lambda'}\neq\emptyset$. Let $\alpha=(\alpha_1,\alpha_2)$ be the minimum element in $S^+_{\lambda'}$. We have*

$$\begin{aligned}
& (d_2(\alpha+2\varepsilon_2)-\psi(d_2(\alpha+2\varepsilon_2)))uw \\
\equiv\ & -\alpha_1\lambda'(\alpha)\psi(d_2(2\varepsilon_2))x_{1,\lambda'_\alpha}f_{\lambda',\bar{0},0}(z)w \quad (mod\ W_\psi(\lambda'_\alpha,\bar{0},0))
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $(\lambda,\mu,k)\in P(uw)$ and $v=d_2(\alpha+2\varepsilon_2)x_{\lambda,\mu,k}f_{\lambda,\mu,k}(z)w$. If $|(\lambda,\mu,k)|<|\lambda'|$, then $|\deg v|<|\lambda'|-\alpha$ while $v\neq 0$. Thus we have

$$d_2(\alpha+2\varepsilon_2)x_{\lambda,\mu,k}f_{\lambda,\mu,k}(z)w\equiv 0 \pmod{W_\psi(\lambda'_\alpha,\bar{0},0)}.$$

If $|(\lambda,\mu,k)|=|\lambda'|$, we have $\mu=\bar{0}$, $k=0$ and $\lambda\preceq\lambda'$. We may write

$$d_{1,\lambda}=(d_1(-\alpha))^{\lambda(\alpha)}d_1(-\beta^{(1)})\cdots d_1(-\beta^{(m)})d_1(-\gamma^{(1)})\cdots d_1(-\gamma^{(l)})$$

where $\alpha<\beta^{(1)}\leq\cdots\leq\beta^{(m)}$, $\mathbf{0}<\gamma^{(1)}\leq\cdots\leq\gamma^{(l)}$ and $\gamma^{(l)}=(0,\gamma_2^{(l)})$. Using $\alpha_1>0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& d_2(\alpha+2\varepsilon_2)x_{\lambda,\bar{0},0}f_{\lambda,\bar{0},0}(z)w\\
\equiv\ & d_2(\alpha+2\varepsilon_2)(d_1(-\alpha))^{\lambda(\alpha)}d_1(-\beta^1)\cdots d_1(-\beta^m)d_1(-\gamma^1)\cdots d_1(-\gamma^l)f_{\lambda,\bar{0},0}(z)w\\
\equiv\ & [d_2(\alpha+2\varepsilon_2),(d_1(-\alpha))^{\lambda(\alpha)}]d_1(-\beta^{(1)})\cdots d_1(-\beta^{(m)})d_1(-\gamma^{(1)})\cdots d_1(-\gamma^{(l)})f_{\lambda,\bar{0},0}(z)w\\
& +(d_1(-\alpha))^{\lambda(\alpha)}d_2(\alpha+2\varepsilon_2)d_1(-\beta^{(1)})\cdots d_1(-\beta^{(m)})d_1(-\gamma^{(1)})\cdots d_1(-\gamma^{(l)})f_{\lambda,\bar{0},0}(z)w\\
\equiv\ & -\alpha_1\lambda(\alpha)(d_1(-\alpha))^{\lambda(\alpha)-1}d_2(2\varepsilon_2)d_1(-\beta^{(1)})\cdots d_1(-\beta^{(m)})d_1(-\gamma^{(1)})\cdots d_1(-\gamma^{(l)})f_{\lambda,\bar{0},0}(z)w\\
\equiv\ & -\alpha_1\lambda(\alpha)\psi(d_2(2\varepsilon_2))d_{1,\lambda_\alpha}f_{\lambda,\bar{0},0}(z)w\\
\equiv\ & -\delta_{\lambda,\lambda'}\alpha_1\lambda'(\alpha)\psi(d_2(2\varepsilon_2))d_{1,\lambda'_\alpha}f_{\lambda',\bar{0},0}(z)w \pmod{W_\psi(\lambda'_\alpha,\bar{0},0)}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\delta_{\lambda,\lambda'}=1$ if $\lambda=\lambda'$, and $\delta_{\lambda,\lambda'}=0$ if $\lambda\neq\lambda'$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (d_2(\alpha+2\varepsilon_2)-\psi(d_2(\alpha+2\varepsilon_2)))uw\\
\equiv\ & d_2(\alpha+2\varepsilon_2)uw\\
\equiv\ & -\alpha_1\lambda'(\alpha)\psi(d_2(2\varepsilon_2))d_{1,\lambda'_\alpha}f_{\lambda',\bar{0},0}(z)w \pmod{W_\psi(\lambda'_\alpha,\bar{0},0)}
\end{aligned}$$

as required. □

Lemma 3.10 *Suppose $\mu'=\bar{0}$, $k'=0$, $S^+_{\lambda'}=\emptyset$, $S_{\lambda'}\neq\emptyset$ and $\Lambda_{N-\varepsilon_2}=\emptyset$. Let $\alpha=(0,\alpha_2)$ be the minimum element in $S_{\lambda'}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
& (d_2(\alpha+\varepsilon_2)-\psi(d_2(\alpha+\varepsilon_2)))uw\\
\equiv\ & -\alpha_2\lambda'(\alpha)\psi(d_1(\varepsilon_2))x_{\lambda'_\alpha,\bar{0},0}f_{\lambda',\bar{0},0}(z)w \quad (mod\ W_\psi(\lambda'_\alpha,\bar{0},0))
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since $\Lambda_{N-\varepsilon_2}=\emptyset$, we can write

$$uw=\sum_{|(\lambda,\mu,k)|\leq N-2\varepsilon_2}x_{\lambda,\mu,k}f_{\lambda,\mu,k}(z)+\sum_{(\lambda,\bar{0},0)\in\Lambda_N}x_{1,\lambda}f_{\lambda,\bar{0},0}(z).$$

By the definitions of ψ and $W_\psi(\lambda'_\alpha,\bar{0},0)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (d_2(\alpha+\varepsilon_2)-\psi(d_2(\alpha+\varepsilon_2)))uw\\
\equiv\ & \textstyle\sum_{(\lambda,\bar{0},0)\in\Lambda_N}[d_2(\alpha+\varepsilon_2),x_{1,\lambda}]f_{\lambda,\bar{0},0}(z)\\
\equiv\ & \textstyle\sum_{(\lambda,\bar{0},0)\in\Lambda_N}(-\alpha_2)\lambda(\alpha)\psi(d_1(\varepsilon_2))x_{1,\lambda_\alpha}f_{\lambda,\bar{0},0}(z)w\\
\equiv\ & -\alpha_2\lambda'(\alpha)\psi(d_1(\varepsilon_2))x_{\lambda'_\alpha,\bar{0},0}f_{\lambda',\bar{0},0}(z)w \pmod{W_\psi(\lambda'_\alpha,\bar{0},0)}
\end{aligned}$$

as required. □

Lemma 3.11 *Suppose $\mu' = \bar{0}$, $k' = 0$, $S^+_{\lambda'} = \emptyset$, $S_{\lambda'} \neq \emptyset$ and $\Lambda_{N-\varepsilon_2} \neq \emptyset$. Let (ξ, η, l) be the maximum element in $\Lambda_{N-\varepsilon_2}$.*

(1) If $l > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (d_1(\varepsilon_2) - \psi(d_1(\varepsilon_2)))uw \\ \equiv\ & -l\psi(d_1(\varepsilon_2))x_{\xi,\eta,l-1}f_{\xi,\eta,l}(z)w \pmod{W_\psi(\xi,\eta,l-1)} \end{aligned}$$

(2) If $l = 0$ but $\eta \neq \bar{0}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (d_1(\beta+\varepsilon_2) - \psi(d_1(\beta+\varepsilon_2)))uw \\ \equiv\ & -(\beta+1)\eta(\beta)\psi(d_1(\varepsilon_2))x_{\xi,\eta_\beta,0}f_{\xi,\eta,0}(z)w \pmod{W_\psi(\xi,\eta_\beta,0)} \end{aligned}$$

where $\beta = (0, \beta_2)$ is the minimum element in S_{η_2};

(3) If $l = 0$ and $\eta = \bar{0}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (d_2(\alpha+\varepsilon_2) - \psi(d_2(\alpha+\varepsilon_2)))uw \\ \equiv\ & -\alpha_2\lambda'(\alpha)\psi(d_2(\varepsilon_2))x_{\lambda'_\alpha,\bar{0},0}f_{\lambda',\bar{0},0}(z)w \pmod{W_\psi(\lambda'_\alpha,\bar{0},0)} \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha = (0, \alpha_2)$ be the minimum element in $S_{\lambda'}$.

Proof. Since $\mu' = \bar{0}$, $k' = 0$, $S^+_{\lambda'} = \emptyset$ and $S_{\lambda'} \neq \emptyset$, there is a positive integer n such that $N = (0, n)$. For $(\lambda, \mu, k) \in \Lambda_N$, we have $\mu = \bar{0}$, $k = 0$ and $|\lambda| = (0, n)$. Thus, we have $[d_1(a\varepsilon_2), x_{\lambda,\mu,k}] = 0$, which implies $(d_1(a\varepsilon_2) - \psi(d_1(a\varepsilon_2)))x_{\lambda,\mu,k}f_{\lambda,\mu,k}(z)w = 0$, where a is an integer.

For (1) and (2), we can write

$$uw = \sum_{|(\lambda,\mu,k)| \leq N-2\varepsilon_2} x_{\lambda,\mu,k}f_{\lambda,\mu,k}(z)w + \sum_{\substack{(\lambda,\mu,k)\in\Lambda_{N-\varepsilon_2} \\ (\lambda,\mu,k)\prec(\xi,\eta,l)}} x_{\lambda,\mu,k}f_{\lambda,\mu,k}(z)w + \sum_{(\lambda,\bar{0},0)\in\Lambda_N} x_{1,\lambda}f_{\lambda,\bar{0},0}(z)w + x_{\xi,\eta,l}f_{\xi,\eta,l}(z)w.$$

If $l > 0$, then using the definitions of ψ and $W_\psi(\xi, \eta, l-1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (d_1(\varepsilon_2) - \psi(d_1(\varepsilon_2)))uw \\ \equiv\ & \textstyle\sum_{\substack{(\lambda,\mu,k)\in\Lambda_{N-\varepsilon_2} \\ (\lambda,\mu,k)\prec(\xi,\eta,l)}} [d_1(\varepsilon_2), x_{\lambda,\mu,k}f_{\lambda,\mu,k}(z)]w + [d_1(\varepsilon_2), x_{\xi,\eta,l}f_{\xi,\eta,l}(z)]w \\ \equiv\ & x_{1,\xi}x_{2,\eta}[d_1(\varepsilon_2), d_2^l(\mathbf{0})]f_{\xi,\eta,l}(z)w \\ \equiv\ & -l\psi(d_1(\varepsilon_2))x_{1,\xi}x_{2,\eta}d_2^{l-1}(\mathbf{0})f_{\xi,\eta,l}(z)w \\ \equiv\ & -l\psi(d_1(\varepsilon_2))x_{\xi,\eta,l-1}f_{\xi,\eta,l}(z)w \pmod{W_\psi(\xi,\eta,l-1)} \end{aligned}$$

as required. If $l = 0$ but $\eta \neq \bar{0}$, then let β be the minimum element in S_η. Clearly, $\beta = (0, \beta_2)$ for some $\beta_2 \geq 0$. Using the definitions of ψ and $W_\psi(\xi, \eta_\beta, 0)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (d_1(\beta+\varepsilon_2) - \psi(d_1(\beta+\varepsilon_2)))uw \\
\equiv\ & \sum_{\substack{(\lambda,\mu,0)\in\Lambda_{N-\varepsilon_2} \\ (\lambda,\mu,0)\prec(\xi,\eta,0)}} [d_1(\beta+\varepsilon_2), x_{\lambda,\mu,0} f_{\lambda,\mu,0}(z)]w + [d_1(\beta+\varepsilon_2), x_{\xi,\eta,0} f_{\xi,\eta,0}(z)]w \\
\equiv\ & x_{1,\xi}[d_1(\beta+\varepsilon_2), x_{2,\eta}] f_{\xi,\eta,0}(z)w \\
\equiv\ & -(\beta+1)\eta(\beta)\psi(d_1(\varepsilon_2)) x_{1,\xi} x_{2,\eta_\beta} f_{\xi,\eta,0}(z)w \\
\equiv\ & -(\beta+1)\eta(\beta)\psi(d_1(\varepsilon_2)) x_{\xi,\eta_\beta,0} f_{\xi,\eta,0}(z)w \pmod{W_\psi(\xi,\eta_\beta,0)}
\end{aligned}$$

as required.

For (3), suppose $l = 0$ and $\eta = \bar{0}$. We can write

$$uw = \sum_{|(\lambda,\mu,k)|\leq N-2\varepsilon_2} x_{\lambda,\mu,k} f_{\lambda,\mu,k}(z)w + \sum_{(\lambda,\bar{0},0)\in\Lambda_{N-\varepsilon_2}} x_{1,\lambda} f_{\lambda,\bar{0},0}(z)w + \sum_{\substack{(\lambda,\bar{0},0)\in\Lambda_N \\ \lambda\prec\lambda'}} x_{1,\lambda} f_{\lambda,\bar{0},0}(z)w + x_{1,\lambda'} f_{\lambda',\bar{0},0}(z)w.$$

Let $\alpha = (0, \alpha_2)$ be the minimum element in $S_{\lambda'}$, using the definitions of ψ and $W_\psi(\lambda'_\alpha, \bar{0}, 0))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (d_2(\alpha+\varepsilon_2) - \psi(d_2(\alpha+\varepsilon_2)))uw \\
\equiv\ & \sum_{\substack{(\lambda,\bar{0},0)\in\Lambda_N \\ \lambda\prec\lambda'}} [d_2(\alpha+\varepsilon_2), x_{1,\lambda} f_{\lambda,\bar{0},0}(z)]w + [d_2(\alpha+\varepsilon_2), x_{1,\lambda'} f_{\lambda',\bar{0},0}(z)]w \\
\equiv\ & [d_2(\alpha+\varepsilon_2), x_{1,\lambda'}] f_{\lambda',\bar{0},0}(z)w \\
\equiv\ & -\alpha_2\lambda'(\alpha)\psi(d_2(\varepsilon_2)) x_{1,\lambda'_\alpha} f_{\lambda',\bar{0},0}(z)w \\
\equiv\ & -\alpha_2\lambda'(\alpha)\psi(d_2(\varepsilon_2)) x_{\lambda'_\alpha,\bar{0},0} f_{\lambda',\bar{0},0}(z)w \pmod{W_\psi(\lambda'_\alpha,\bar{0},0)}
\end{aligned}$$

as required. □

Proof of theorem 3.2 Suppose $u = f(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z]$. For $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2$ and $i = 1, 2$, we have $[d_i(\alpha), z] = -\alpha_1 d_i(\alpha)$. If $\alpha_1 > 0$, by Proposition 2.1, we see that $\psi(d_i(\alpha)) = 0$. Thus we have $[d_i(\alpha), z]w = 0$, that is $d_i(\alpha)zw = zd_i(\alpha)w$, which follows that $d_i(\alpha)f(z)w = f(z)d_i(\alpha)w = \psi(d_i(\alpha))f(z)w$. Therefore, uw is a Whittaker vector of type ψ.

Suppose $w' = uw$ is a whittaker vector of W_ψ. Using lemma 3.6, w' is a whittaker vector of type ψ. Following from the PBW-Theorem, we can write

$$uw = \sum_{(\lambda,\mu,k)\in\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}} x_{\lambda,\mu,k} f_{\lambda,\mu,k}(z)w, \text{ where } f_{\lambda,\mu,k}(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z].$$

Let $(\lambda', \mu', k') = \deg(uw)$. If $u \notin \mathbb{C}[z]$, then $(\lambda', \mu', k') \neq (\bar{0}, \bar{0}, 0)$. Following from lemma 3.7–3.11, there is $D \in \mathcal{L}^+$ such that $(D - \psi(D))w' \neq 0$, which implies w' is not a whittaker vector of type ψ, a contradition. Therefore, $u \in \mathbb{C}[z]$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.2.

4 Simple Whittaker modules of nonsingular type

Recall that a module V of a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is said to be simple (or irreducible) if V is nonzero and has no nontrivial submodules. In this section, we study the submodule of universal Whittaker module for $\mathcal{L}$ of nonsingular type. We assume $\psi : \mathcal{L}^+ \to \mathbb{C}$ is a nonsingular homomorphism of Lie algebras, W_ψ is the universal Whittaker module for $\mathcal{L}$ of type ψ with cyclic element w.

Lemma 4.1 *Any nonzero submodule of W_ψ has a Whittaker vector.*

Proof. Suppose M is a nonzero submodule of W_ψ. Let $w' = uw$ be a nonzero element in M, where $u \in U(\mathfrak{b}^-)$. If $u \in \mathbb{C}[z]$, then by theorem 3.2, w' is a Whittaker vector as required. If $u \notin \mathbb{C}[z]$, then $\deg(w') \neq (\bar{0}, \bar{0}, 0)$. Let $(\lambda', \mu', k') = \deg(w')$. We can get a Whittaker vector in M from w' through the following steps.

Step 1. If $k' > 0$, then replace w' by $(d_2(2\varepsilon_2) - \psi(d_2(2\varepsilon_2)))^{k'} w'$. Following from lemma 3.7, we have $w' \neq 0$ and $\deg(w') = (\lambda', \mu', 0)$. Then go to step 2.

Step 2. If $\mu' \neq \bar{0}$ then $l(\mu') > 0$. Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ be the minimum element in $S_{\mu'}$. If $\alpha_1 > 0$ then replace w' by $(d_1(\alpha + 2\varepsilon_2) - \psi(d_1(\alpha + 2\varepsilon_2)))w'$, else then replace w' by $(d_2(\alpha+2\varepsilon_2) - \psi(d_2(\alpha+2\varepsilon_2)))w'$. Using lemma 3.8, we have $w' \neq 0$ and $\deg(w') = (\lambda', \mu'_\alpha, 0)$. Note that $l(\mu'_\alpha) = l(\mu') - 1$. If $\mu'_\alpha \neq \bar{0}$, repeat what we have done as above, until we get $\deg(w') = (\lambda', 0, 0)$. Then go to step 3.

Step 3. If $S^+_{\lambda'} \neq \emptyset$, then replace w' by $(d_2(\alpha + 2\varepsilon_2) - \psi(d_2(\alpha + 2\varepsilon_2)))w'$, where α is the minimum element in $S^+_{\lambda'}$. Using lemma 3.9, we have $w' \neq 0$ and $\deg(w') = (\lambda'_\alpha, \bar{0}, 0)$. Note that $\sum_{\beta \in S^+_{\lambda'_\alpha}} \lambda'(\beta) = \sum_{\beta \in S^+_{\lambda'}} \lambda'(\beta)$-1. If $S^+_{\lambda'_\alpha} \neq \emptyset$, repeat what we have done as above, until we get $\deg(w') = (\xi, 0, 0)$ with $S^+_\xi = \emptyset$. Then go to step 4.

Step 4. If $\xi \neq \bar{0}$, then there is a positive integer n such that $|\xi| = (0, n)$. Using lemma 3.10 and 3.11, we can get $w'' \in M$ such that $w'' \neq 0$ and $\deg(w'') = (\eta, \zeta, s)$, where $|(\eta, \zeta, s)| = (0, m)$ with $0 \leq m < n$. Replace w' by w''. If $(\eta, \zeta, s) = (\bar{0}, \bar{0}, 0)$, then w' is a Whittaker vector in M as required; else, then replace (λ', μ', k') by (η, ζ, s) and return to step 1. □

Let I be an ideal of $\mathbb{C}[z]$, and $M(I)$ be a submodule of W_ψ which is generated by Iw. Since $\mathbb{C}[z]$ is a principle ideal domain, there is $g(z) \in I$ such that $I = \mathbb{C}[z]g(z)$. Thus we have $M(I) = U(\mathfrak{b}^-)g(z)w$. In the following theorem, it is proved that any submodule of W_ψ is of this form.

Theorem 4.2 *Let M be a submodule of W_ψ, then there exist $g(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ such that $M = U(\mathfrak{b}^-)g(z)w$. Therefore, there is a bijection between the set of submodules of W_ψ and the set of ideals of $\mathbb{C}[z]$. Moreover, there is a bijection between the set of maximum submodules*

of W_ψ and the set of maximum ideals of $\mathbb{C}[z]$.

Proof. Let $M(\neq \{0\})$ be a submodule of W_ψ. Set $\mathcal{I}_M = \{f(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z] \mid f(z)w \in M\}$. Using lemma 4.1 and theorem 3.2, we get $\mathcal{I}_M \neq \{0\}$. Clearly, $\mathcal{I}_M$ is an ideal of $\mathbb{C}[z]$, thus $\mathcal{I}_M = \mathbb{C}[z]g(z)$ for some $0 \neq g(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z]$. We claim $M = U(\mathfrak{b}^-)g(z)w$. In fact, $M \supseteq U(\mathfrak{b}^-)g(z)w$ is obvious. Suppose $M \supsetneqq U(\mathfrak{b}^-)g(z)w$, then there is $0 \neq v \in M$ such that $v \notin U(\mathfrak{b}^-)g(z)w$.

$$v = \sum_{(\lambda,\mu,k)\in\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}} x_{\lambda,\mu,k} f_{\lambda,\mu,k}(z)w,$$

where $f_{\lambda,\mu,k}(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ and $\deg f_{\lambda,\mu,k}(z) < \deg g(z)$ if $f_{\lambda,\mu,k}(z) \neq 0$. Following from the proof of lemma 3.7–3.11, we can get $h(z)w \in U(\mathfrak{b}^-)v + U(\mathfrak{b}^-)g(z)w \subseteq M$ such that $h(z) \neq 0$ and $\deg h(z) < \deg g(z)$. Thus $h(z) \in \mathcal{I}_M$, a contradiction to $\mathcal{I}_M = \mathbb{C}[z]g(z)$. This follows $M = U(\mathfrak{b}^-)g(z)w$.

Therefore, there is a bijection between the set of submodules of W_ψ and the set of ideals of $\mathbb{C}[z]$. Moreover, there is a bijection between the set of maximum submodules of W_ψ and the set of maximum ideals of $\mathbb{C}[z]$. □

Corollary 4.3 *For $a \in \mathbb{C}$, $L_{\psi,a} := W_\psi/(U(\mathfrak{b}^-)(z-a)w)$ is a simple Whittaker $\mathcal{L}$-module of type ψ, and any simple Whittaker $\mathcal{L}$-module of type ψ is isomorphic to some $L_{\psi,a}$.*

Proof. We know that for $a \in \mathbb{C}$, $\mathbb{C}[z](z-a)$ is a maximum ideal of $\mathbb{C}[z]$, and any maximum ideal of $\mathbb{C}[z]$ is of this form. Using theorem 4.2, $U(\mathfrak{b}^-)(z-a)w$ is a maximum submodule of W_ψ, which implies $L_{\psi,a} := W_\psi/(U(\mathfrak{b}^-)(z-a)w)$ is a simple Whittaker $\mathcal{L}$-module of type ψ. Since any Whittaker $\mathcal{L}$-module of type ψ is isomorphic to a quotient of W_ψ, using theorem 4.2, it must be isomorphic to some $L_{\psi,a}$. □

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank Prof. S. Tan for stimulating discussions and help in preparation of this paper.

References

[AP] D. Arnal, G. Pinczon, On algebraically irreducible representations of the Lie algebra sl_2. J Math Phys, 1974, 15: 350-359.

[B] R. Block, The irreducible representations of the Lie algebra sl_2 and of the Weyl algebra. Adv. Math, 1981, 39: 69-110.

[BO] G. Benkart, M. Ondrus, Whittaker modules for generalized Weyl algebras. Represent Theory, 2009, 13: 141-164.

[Ch] K. Christodoulopoulou, Whittaker modules for Heisenberg algebras and imaginary Whittaker modules for affine Lie algebras. J Algebra, 2008, 320: 2871-2890.

[JM] C. Jiang, D. Meng, The automorphism group of the derivation algebra of the Virasoro-like algebra, Adv. Math. (China) 27 (2) (1998) 175-183.

[LT1] W. Lin, S. Tan, Representations of the Lie algebra of derivations for quantum torus. J Alg. 275 (2004) 250-274.

[LT2] W. Lin, S. Tan, Nonzero level Harish-Chandra modules over the Virasoro-like algebra, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 204 (2006) 90-105.

[M] O. Mathieu, Classification of Harish-Chandra modules over the Virasoro algebra, Invent. Math. 107 (1992) 225-234.

[Ko] B. Kostant, On Whittaker vectors and representation theory. Invent Math, 1978, 48: 101-184.

[KP] E. Kirkman, C. Procesi, L. Small, A q-analog for the Virasoro algebra, Comm. Algebra 22 (1994) 3755-3774.

[OP] J. M. Osborn, D. S. Passman, Derivations of Skew Polynomial Rings. J. Algebra. 176 (1995) 417-448.

[OW] M. Ondrus , E. Wiesner, Whittaker modules for the Virasoro algebra. J. Algebra Appl, 2009, 8: 363-377.

[WZ] B. Wang, X. Zhu, Whittaker modules for a Lie algebra of Block type. Front Math China, 2011, 6(4): 731-744.

[WZh] X. Wang, K. Zhao, Verma modules over the Virasoro-like algebra, J. Austral. Math. 80 (2006) 179-191.

[ZTL] X. Zhang, S. Tan, Lian H. Whittaker modules for the Schröinger-Witt algebra. J Math Phys, 2010, 51: 083524.

[MZ1] V. Mazorchuk, K. Zhao, Classification of simple weight Virasoro modules with a finite-dimensional weight space. J. Algebra, 307(2007): 209-214.

[MZ2] V. Mazorchuk, K. Zhao, simple Virasoro modules which are locally finite over a positive part, Selecta Math. (N.S.), 20 (2014), no. 3, 839-854.

[ZZ] H. Zhang and K. Zhao, Representations of the Virasoro-like Lie algebra and its q-analog, Comm. Algebra 24(14)(1996), 4361-4372.